



Network for Information and Digital Access

The impact of Science Literacy delivery methods - what works?

Bibliography

Competitions | Group 4. Activities and services

Ver. 1.00

Date: November 2018

Introduction

This thematic bibliography is the result of research to survey existing literature available on Science Literacy delivery methods.

The search was carried out by retrieving documents and articles from a wide range of sources, including research databases, Google Scholar, ResearchGate, subject databases, open access repositories etc. using keyword combinations.

The results of the resource discovery are divided into two groups: one containing impact assessments using qualitative, quantitative or mixed method (both qualitative and quantitative) approaches to data collection and a second including descriptive resources, which encompass, for example, reviews, guides, handbooks, reports and project reports.

This bibliography is work in progress and is not designed to be fully exhaustive or complete. We will be pleased to receive suggestions and recommendations for additions that can contribute to the understanding of science, its applications and, to the promotion of science literacy.

Groups and methods list

During the first part of the Desk Research phase of this project (i.e. Task 1), the team identified 42 single-mechanism approaches, 2 composite approaches and 1 related approach that were relevant to the delivery and dissemination of scientific information. The list of single mechanisms was further organised into 7 thematic groups, as presented in the following Table.

Single mechanism approach	Group
Exhibitions, Expo, Festivals, Movies, Picnics, Science fairs, Seminars, Talks, TED Talks, Theatre, Workshops	1. Events, meetings, performances
Colloquia, Courses, Curricula, E-learning, Webinars	2. Education and training – including online
Animations, Books, Brochures, Cartoons, Comics, Games, Graphics, Posters, Publications, Radio, Reports, TV, Videos	3. Traditional publishing and journalism – print and broadcast
Competitions, Experiments, Makerspaces, Mobile classrooms, Mobile laboratories	4. Activities and services
Blogs, E-books, E-zines, Mobile Apps, Podcasts, Social media, Websites, Wikis	5. Online interactions
Composite approaches	
Multiliteracies	
Multimodalities	
Related approach	
Citizen Science	

Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)

Impact Assessment

- Melchior, Alan, Faye Cohen, Tracy Cutter, and Thomas Leavitt. "More than Robots: An Evaluation of the First Robotics Competition Participant and Institutional Impacts." *Heller School for Social Policy and Management, Brandeis University*, 2005, 83.
http://www.legolab.daimi.au.dk/Danish.dir/JanneFLL/FRC_eval_finalrpt.pdf.
- Tai, David W.s., Yu-Te Wang, Ling-Huei Tseng, Ren-Cheng Zhang, and Zena Y.H. Tai. "Influences of a Robotics Creative Project Competition on Students' Scientific Attitude and Scientific Inquiry Abilities | Request PDF." *World Transactions on Engineering and Technology Education* 13, no. 3 (2015): 5.
[http://www.wiete.com.au/journals/WTE&TE/Pages/Vol.13,%20No.3%20\(2015\)/26-Tai-D.pdf](http://www.wiete.com.au/journals/WTE&TE/Pages/Vol.13,%20No.3%20(2015)/26-Tai-D.pdf).
- Weizmann Institute of Science, Fatima Kaloti-Hallak, Michal Armoni, and Mordechai Ben-Ari. "The Effectiveness of Robotics Competitions on Students' Learning of Computer Science." *Olympiads in Informatics* 9 (July 2015): 89–112. <https://doi.org/10.15388/ioi.2015.08>.
- Welch, Anita G. "Using the TOSRA to Assess High School Students' Attitudes toward Science after Competing In the FIRST Robotics Competition: An Exploratory Study." *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education* 6, no. 3 (December 21, 2010): 187–97.
<https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/75239>.
- Welch, Anita, and Douglas Huffman. "The Effect of Robotics Competitions on High School Students' Attitudes Toward Science: Robotics and Student Attitudes." *School Science and Mathematics* 111, no. 8 (December 2011): 416–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2011.00107.x>.
- Wirt, Jennifer L. "An Analysis of Science Olympiad Participants' Perceptions Regarding Their Experience with the Science and Engineering Academic Competition." PhD thesis, Seton Hall University, 2011.
<https://scholarship.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://scholar.google.co.uk/&httpsredir=1&article=1014&context=dissertations>.

Descriptive Resources

- Fang, Ferric C., and Arturo Casadevall. "Competitive Science: Is Competition Ruining Science?" Edited by A. J. Bäuml. *Infection and Immunity* 83, no. 4 (April 2015): 1229–33. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02939-14>.
- Rovšek, Barbara. "Assessing Learning Outcomes from Experiments in a Science Competition." *European Journal of Physics* 38, no. 3 (2017): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6404/aa5560>.